1	RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 6716 500 South 8 <sup>th</sup> Street		
	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
3	(702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile		
4	Attorney for Plaintiff	COURT	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6	KIM BOLIBA,		
7	,		
8	Plaintiff,	CASE No.	
9	-vs	2:14-cv-01840-JAD-NJK	
10	CAMPING WORLD, INC.; CAMPING WORLD RV		
11	SALES, LLC; WHEELER LAS VEGAS RV d/b/a CAMPING WORLD RV SALES; WINNEBAGO		
12	INDUSTRIES, INC.; DOES 1-10; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,		
13			
14	Defendants.		
15			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS'		
16	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request)		
17			
18	Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on September 8, 2015. A Response is		
19	due on October 2, 2015. Based on the stipulation hereinbelow, Plaintiff requests an additional		
20	two (2) weeks to file a response.		
21	FRCP 6(b) states:		
22	(b) Extending Time:		
23	(1) In General.		
24	When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the time:	e court may, for good cause, extend	
25	(A) With or without motion or notice if the court acts,	or if a request is made, before the	
26	original time or its extension expires; or (B) On motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of		
27	excusable neglect.		
28	1		

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Ninth Circuit has held in Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc., 624 F.3d 1253, 1258-59 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010) that Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) "'[is] to be liberally construed to effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases are tried on the merits.' *Rodgers v. Watt*, 722 F.2d 456, 459 (9th Cir.1983) (quoting *Staren v. American Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago*, 529 F.2d 1257, 1263 (7th Cir.1976)); *see also* Fed.R.Civ.P. 1 ("[The Federal Rules] should be construed and administered to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding."). Consequently, requests for extensions of time made before the applicable deadline has passed should "normally ... be granted in the absence of bad faith on the part of the party seeking relief or prejudice to the adverse party." 4B Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R.

Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 1165 (3d ed. 2004)." Id.

In this case as shown in the declaration immediately below, Plaintiff's counsel recently discovered that several motions, orders, and other required work had not been completed or were glaringly deficient. As a result, counsel had to terminate the person required to perform the tasks. This caused counsel to have to spend time correcting the work or performing the work. Counsel immediately contacted Defendants' counsel and he agreed to allow an extension of two weeks to respond to the current summary judgment motion.

## **DECLARATION OF COUNSEL**

Plaintiff's counsel recently discovered that numerous motions, orders and other required
work had not been completed by a certain employee thereby forcing counsel for the
Plaintiff to devote an inordinate amount of time correcting the deficiencies after
Plaintiff's counsel let this certain employee go.

1	2.	Plaintiff's counsel then contacted counsel for the Defendant requesting a two week	
2		extension to respond to the motion for summary judgment currently on file. Defendants	
3		agreed to the extension of time.	
4	3.	3. Plaintiff's counsel believes that good cause exists to allow an extension of time to file a	
5		response to the Defendants' summary judgment motion.	
6			
7	Dated	this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015. /s/ Randal R. Leonard, Esq.	
8		RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716	
10		500 South 8 <sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, NV 89101	
11		(702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile	
12		Attorney for Plaintiff	
13	1//		
14	111		
15	111		
16	111	54 ·	
17 18	111		
19	111		
20	111		
21	1//		
22	111		
23	111		
24	111		
25	///		
26	///		
27 28	4		
20			

1 2 THE PARTIES THEREFORE STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS: 3 1. That Plaintiff will have until October 16, 2015 to file a response to the Defendants' 4 Motion for Summary Judgment on file. 5 6 Dated: Sept 30, 2015 7 8 9 RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. MICHAEL EDWARDS, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 6281 Nevada Bar No. 6716 10 500 South 8th Street 6725 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 260 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 11 (702) 598-3667/ office (702) 836-3138/ office 12 (702) 598-3926/ facsimile Attorney for Defendants Attorney for Plaintiff 13 14 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED: 17 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, 19 20 October 1, 2015 DATED: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 5 28